

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,140	08/25/2003	Richard Harvey	063170.6611	3247
5073 7590 05(15/2008 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
27122710, 111	75201 2500		2164	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/648,140 HARVEY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Alicia M. Lewis 2164 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2/2008

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/648,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed February 22, 2008.

Claims 1, 7 and 13 are currently amended. Claims 1-17 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (current application priority date 8/26/2002) as being unpatentable over Shiman et al. (US 2002/0019827 A1, priority date 6/5/2000) ('Shiman') in view of Gadbois et al. (US 2004/0002955 A1, filing date 6/28/2002) ('Gadbois').

With respect to claims 1 and 7, Shiman teaches:

providing a database having object classes and attributes (paragraph 9);

defining attributes of a specific type (primary keys) which correspond to a specific object class, each attribute unique to the specific object class to which the attribute belongs (paragraph 9); and

generating an index based on the specific attribute types (paragraph 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/648,140
Art Unit: 2164

Shiman does not explicitly teach that the database is a part of a web services directory.

Gadbois teaches information model mapping with shared directory tree representation (see abstract), in which he teaches using a database to provide a web services directory (paragraph 5 lines 14-25 and paragraph 24).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Shiman by the teaching of Gadbois because a web services directory would enable a registry service to help support the storage and retrieval of data and enable authentication, which would provide secure access to Internet services and applications (Gadbois, paragraphs 24 and 25). The modification would also enable an improved method and system for storing and maintaining object-oriented data in an RDBMS, more particularly for storing and maintaining directory information objects, such as LDAP data, in an RDBMS.

With respect to claims 2, 8 and 14, Shiman as modified teaches wherein the object classes are a subclass of an abstract object class (Shiman, paragraph 9).

With respect to claims 3, 9 and 15, Shiman as modified teaches wherein the specific object class relates to at least one of keyed references, names and classes (Shiman, paragraph 9; Gadbois, paragraph 38, paragraph 64).

Application/Control Number: 10/648,140

Art Unit: 2164

With respect to claims 4, 10 and 16, Shiman as modified teaches wherein the object class is an auxiliary object class (Shiman, paragraph 9; Gadbois, paragraphs 38-39, paragraph 47, paragraph 64).

With respect to claims 5, 11 and 17, Shiman as modified teaches wherein the auxiliary object class is a Publisher Assertion Keyed Reference (Gadbois, paragraphs 38-39, paragraph 47, paragraph 64).

With respect to claims 6 and 12, Shiman as modified teaches further comprising providing specific attributes which relate to one object class for a purpose of enhancing searching (Shiman, paragraph 11; Gadbois, paragraph 25).

With respect to claim 13, Shiman teaches:

providing a database having a plurality of object classes, the plurality of object classes having a plurality of attributes, each attribute unique to an object class (paragraph 9);

defining a plurality of unique names for each of the plurality of attributes, each of the plurality of unique names (primary keys) uniquely identifying the object class to which a particular attribute belongs (paragraph 9); and

generating an index based on the plurality of unique names (paragraph 9).

Shiman does not explicitly teach that the database is a part of a web services directory.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,140

Art Unit: 2164

Gadbois teaches information model mapping with shared directory tree representation (see abstract), in which he teaches a web services directory (paragraph 5 lines 14-25 and paragraph 24).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Shiman by the teaching of Gadbois because a web services directory would enable a registry service to help support the storage and retrieval of data and enable authentication, which would provide secure access to Internet services and applications (Gadbois, paragraphs 24 and 25).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 - 6:30, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on 571-272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,140

Page 7

Art Unit: 2164

Examiner, Art Unit 2164

May 8, 2008

/Charles Rones/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164